```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
09:04:57
        1
        2
                          WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
        3
        4
        5
           UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                       )
                                             21CR32
        6
           VS.
                                          Rochester, New York
        7
                                        ) April 12, 2022
           ROBERT C. MORGAN
                       Defendant.
                                           9:00 a.m.
                              - - - - X
        8
           PLEA
        9
       10
                            TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
       11
                  BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH A. WOLFORD
                          UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
       12
       13
                            TRINI S. ROSS, ESQ.
                            United States Attorney
       14
                            BY: EVAN GLABERSON, ESQ.
                                JOSEPH KARASZEWSKI, ESQ.
       15
                            Assistant United States Attorneys
                            138 Delaware Avenue
                            Buffalo, New York 14202
       16
       17
                            JOEL COHEN, ESQ.
                            MYLEN DENERSTEIN, ESQ.
       18
                            TIMOTHY SUN, ESQ.
       19
                            Gibson Dunn, LLP
                            200 Park Avenue
       20
                            New York, New York 10166
       2.1
       22
       23
       24
            COURT REPORTER: Karen J. Clark, Official Court Reporter
                            Karenclark1013@AOL.com
       25
                            100 State Street
                            Rochester, New York 14614
```

```
USA VS. R. MORGAN
        1
        2
        3
                                 PROCEEDING
        4
        5
15:34:47
        6
        7
                         THE COURT: Morning, everybody.
15:34:47
                         THE CLERK: Court calls the matter of the
09:11:03
        8
            United States versus Robert Morgan, 21CR32.
09:11:04
        9
                         THE COURT: Let's have appearances for the
09:11:16
       10
            record. On behalf of the government?
09:11:18
       11
09:11:20
       12
                         MR. GLABERSON: Evan Glaberson on behalf of
09:11:21
       13
            the United States. Good morning.
                         THE COURT: Good morning.
09:11:22
       14
09:11:23
       15
                         On behalf of Mr. Morgan.
                         MR. COHEN: Good morning, your Honor Joel
09:11:24
       16
            Cohen, Mylen Denerstein and Timothy Sun.
09:11:27
       17
       18
                         THE COURT: Good morning and Robert Morgan
09:11:30
            IS here as well. I understand, Mr. Mr. Morgan, that
09:11:32
       19
09:11:37
       20
            pursuant to the terms and conditions of a plea
       2.1
            agreement, you intend to plead to a one count Title 18
09:11:39
09:11:42
       22
            U.S.C. Section 371, conspiracy to commit wire fraud.
                                                                       Ιs
09:11:49
       23
            that correct?
09:11:51
       2.4
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
                         THE COURT: Why don't we make sure the
       25
09:11:52
```

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 microphone is right there in front of Mr. Morgan? 09:11:54 2 The base of it can move. 09:11:58 3 THE DEFENDANT: 09:12:01 4 Yes. 09:12:01 THE COURT: Because I don't want you to have 5 09:12:03 to keep leaning forward every time I ask you a question. I'm going to ask you a number of questions. I know you 7 09:12:06 were here last week for the plea hearings for your 09:12:10 8 co-defendants. As you know, there are a lot of 09:12:13 questions that I need to ask you, and I want to make 09:12:15 10 sure that you're able to answer them, and I'm able to 09:12:17 11 09:12:20 12 hear you and my court reporter is able to take down your 09:12:23 13 testimony. So the very first step is for you to be sworn in. I'll ask you to please raise your right hand 09:12:25 14 09:12:29 15 and my courtroom deputy will swear you in. (Whereupon, the defendant was administered 09:12:33 16 the oath by the courtroom deputy.) 09:12:40 17 THE COURT: All right. What you just did 09:12:40 18 09:12:42 19 there, sir, is you took an oath to tell me the truth. 20 09:12:45 Therefore, I'm going to expect you to answer my 2.1 questions truthfully. If you do not answer my questions 09:12:47 09:12:50 22 truthfully, you could be prosecuted for another crime 09:12:53 23 called perjury. Do you understand that? 09:12:55 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 25 09:12:57 THE COURT: Could you state your full name

```
1
                                 USA VS. R. MORGAN
09:12:58
        2
            for the record?
                         THE DEFENDANT: Robert C. Morgan.
09:12:59
        3
09:13:00
        4
                         THE COURT: And where were you born, Mr.
            Morgan?
09:13:02
        5
09:13:03
        6
                         THE DEFENDANT: Rochester, New York.
        7
                         THE COURT: How old are you?
09:13:03
09:13:04
        8
                         THE DEFENDANT:
                                           Sixty-five.
09:13:06
                         THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
        9
09:13:07
       10
                         THE DEFENDANT: I got a -- I went through
       11
            high school and three years of college.
09:13:11
       12
                         THE COURT: I take it you can read, write
09:13:13
09:13:16
       13
            and understand English?
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
09:13:16
       14
09:13:17
       15
                         THE COURT: Are you currently being treated
            by any medical or mental health professional for any
09:13:20
            condition that could impact your ability to understand
09:13:23
       17
            what's happening here today?
09:13:26
       18
                         THE DEFENDANT: No.
09:13:27
       19
09:13:27
       20
                         THE COURT: Are you taking any prescription
       2.1
            medication?
09:13:30
09:13:30
       22
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
09:13:31
       23
                         THE COURT: Could you tell me what you're
            taking?
09:13:33
       24
       25
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm taking several
09:13:33
```

1 USA VS. R. MORGAN 09:13:36 narcotics. One of them is Oxycodone for approximately 2 31 years; and I'm taking Fentanyl. I'm wearing a 09:13:42 3 Fentanyl patch. And those are the two narcotics, and 09:13:46 09:13:49 then I take Gabapentin for nerve pain. And I take 5 09:13:57 Metoprolol for a heart issue. 7 THE COURT: Other than the Metoprolol, are 09:13:59 all of the other medications for pain management? 09:14:01 8 09:14:04 THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: And the pain that you need to 09:14:04 10 11 manage, is that at all interfering with your ability to 09:14:10 understand what's happening here in court? 09:14:14 12 THE DEFENDANT: 09:14:16 13 No. THE COURT: What about the medications that 09:14:16 14 09:14:18 15 you just described, including the one for the heart condition, as well as the pain management medications, 09:14:22 16 are those at all interfering with your ability to 09:14:24 17 understand what's happening here in court? 09:14:27 18 09:14:29 19 THE DEFENDANT: No, not at all. 09:14:30 20 THE COURT: Did you take any of these 2.1 medications this morning? 09:14:35 09:14:36 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 09:14:37 23 THE COURT: All of them or --09:14:38 2.4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, that's correct. 25 09:14:39 THE COURT: And is that normally when you

```
USA VS. R. MORGAN
        1
            take the medication?
09:14:41
        2
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
09:14:42
        3
09:14:44
        4
                         THE COURT: Now, are you under the influence
            of any other drugs or any alcohol?
09:14:46
        5
09:14:50
                         THE DEFENDANT:
        6
        7
                         THE COURT: As far as you're concerned, is
09:14:50
09:14:53
            there any reason that you cannot understand what's
        8
            happening here in court today?
09:14:55
        9
                         THE DEFENDANT: No, there is no reason.
09:14:57
       10
       11
                         THE COURT: Mr. Cohen, obviously, you've
09:14:58
09:14:59
       12
            been representing Mr. Morgan for some time now. Are you
09:15:04
       13
            confident he is able to comprehend what's going on in
            court today and the terms and conditions of this plea
09:15:08
       14
09:15:11
       15
            agreement?
09:15:11
       16
                         MR. KARASZEWSKI: Yes, I am.
09:15:12
       17
                         THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Morgan,
            have you had an opportunity to talk to your attorneys
09:15:13
       18
            about the government's case against you, and by that I
09:15:16
       19
       20
09:15:18
            mean the proof that the government would have if this
       2.1
            case went to trial?
09:15:22
09:15:22
       22
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
                         THE COURT: And have you also talked with
09:15:23
       23
09:15:25
       24
            your attorneys about what the likely result might be if
       25
            the case went to trial?
09:15:27
```

```
USA VS. R. MORGAN
        1
09:15:28
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
        2
                         THE COURT: Would it be fair to state that,
09:15:28
        3
            based on your discussions with your attorneys, you
09:15:30
09:15:32
            believe entering into this plea agreement and pleading
        5
09:15:35
            quilty to this charge is in your best interest?
        7
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.
09:15:37
09:15:38
        8
                         THE COURT: Now, have you gone over this
            written plea agreement with your attorneys?
09:15:40
        9
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
09:15:42
       10
       11
                         THE COURT: To the extent that you had any
09:15:42
09:15:44
       12
            questions, were they able to answer them to your
            satisfaction?
09:15:46
       13
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
09:15:47
       14
09:15:48
       15
                         THE COURT: Have you read the agreement
            yourself?
09:15:50
       16
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
09:15:50
       17
09:15:51
       18
                         THE COURT: And have you had enough time to
            talk to your attorneys about this before coming in here
09:15:54
       19
       20
09:15:57
            today?
       2.1
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
09:15:57
09:15:58
       22
                         THE COURT: Are you satisfied with their
09:16:00
       23
            advice and representation?
09:16:02
       2.4
                         THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
      25
09:16:03
                         THE COURT: What I'd like to do, Mr. Morgan,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:16:05

09:16:07

09:16:10

09:16:12

09:16:15

09:16:17

09:16:19

09:16:20

09:16:22

09:16:24

09:16:27

09:16:30

09:16:33

09:16:37

09:16:43

09:16:45

09:16:48

09:16:50

09:16:51

09:16:55

09:16:58

09:17:01

09:17:04

09:17:07

USA VS. R. MORGAN

is go through some of the terms of the plea agreement with you. I'm not going to go through everything because you've told me that you've read it and you've gone over it with your attorneys. But, if you have a question about anything, whether I bring it up or not, I want you to stop me and ask your question. Okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: So what I would like to do is direct your attention to the first paragraph of the plea agreement. Because, in there, among other things, it talks about the potential penalties that can be imposed for the crime that you're pleading guilty to. The potential maximum prison sentence is five years. The maximum fine is \$250,000. There is a \$100 mandatory special assessment. And then the supervised release term can be up to three years. Do you understand that those are the potential penalties?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, if you were to be placed on supervised release, and I appreciate that there is an agreement in here that no supervised release can be imposed, and we'll talk about that in a moment, but if you were to be placed on supervised release and you violated the terms and conditions of that supervised

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 09:17:11 release, then, as set forth in paragraph two, you could 2 go to prison for up to two years without getting any 09:17:14 3 credit for the time that you've already served on 09:17:17 09:17:19 supervised release. Do you understand that? 5 09:17:21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Why don't you, if 09:17:22 09:17:25 you would, turn to paragraph five of the plea agreement? 8 09:17:37 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, okay. 09:17:38 10 THE COURT: Do you see the reference at the 11 end of paragraph five to the "Sentencing Reform Act of 09:17:39 1984"? 12 09:17:42 09:17:43 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. THE COURT: That is the federal law that I 09:17:44 14 09:17:45 15 have to follow when I sentence you. And that federal law requires me to consider a number of factors before I 09:17:48 16 09:17:51 17 impose a sentence. I have to consider your background, the nature and circumstances of the crime that you pled 09:17:53 18 quilty to. But the very first step that I have to go 09:17:56 19 20 09:18:00 through is I have to figure out what the Sentencing 2.1 Guidelines would recommend for a sentence. Have you 09:18:03 09:18:05 22 talked to your attorneys about the Sentencing 09:18:07 23 Guidelines? 09:18:07 2.4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: The Sentencing Guidelines are 09:18:08

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:18:11

09:18:14

09:18:17

09:18:20

09:18:22

09:18:23

09:18:25

09:18:27

09:18:29

09:18:31

09:18:35

09:18:37

09:18:40

09:18:43

09:18:46

09:18:50

09:18:51

09:18:51

09:18:53

09:18:55

09:18:58

09:19:00

09:19:03

09:19:07

USA VS. R. MORGAN

advisory, they are not mandatory, but they require me to determine two numbers: One is called your offense level; and one is called your criminal history category. And then those two numbers recommend to me a range for a sentence. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

this case, you're going to be interviewed by the Probation Department. And they are going to prepare a document called a Presentence Investigation Report that will provide me a lot of information, including about your background, the nature and circumstances of the crime that you pled guilty to. But it also will contain the Probation Department's calculations as to what the correct offense level and criminal history category are under the Sentencing Guidelines. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: It's then my job, as the sentencing judge, to carefully review that document as well as all other materials that I receive in connection with sentencing, and then sentence you to what I believe is just, fair, appropriate and reasonable under the law. Do you understand that?

09:19:08 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

1

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

09:19:10

09:19:12

09:19:14

09:19:16

09:19:20

09:19:22

09:19:25

09:19:27

09:19:29

09:19:32

09:19:36

09:19:40

09:19:44

09:19:48

09:19:55

09:19:59

09:20:01

09:20:04

09:20:08

09:20:11

09:20:17

09:20:20

09:20:23

entered into an agreement, at least in part, on what you believe the correct calculations are under the Sentencing Guidelines. So, in paragraph six, you and the government agree that there is a base offense level, that should be apply here of 6. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, in paragraph 7, the government is setting forth what it believes are various increases that should occur with the offense level. Specifically, the government is contending that the loss amount, total loss, including relevant conduct, was in excess of 9.5 million dollars, and, therefore, there should be a 20-level offense increase, or 20 -- your offense level should increase by 20. And then the government is also contending that the offense involved more than 10 victims, and, therefore, the offense level should go up by 2; that is, the offense involved what are called sophisticated means, and, therefore, the offense level should up by two. And that you derived more than 1 million dollars in gross receipts from one or more financial institutions and that the offense level should go up by two. But you're not agreeing to

09:20:30

09:20:32

09:20:35

09:20:36

09:20:37

09:20:40

09:20:42

09:20:46

09:20:49

09:20:53

09:20:57

09:21:00

09:21:01

09:21:02

09:21:04

09:21:07

09:21:11

09:21:15

09:21:19

09:21:22

09:21:23

09:21:25

09:21:29

09:21:32

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

those calculations in this plea agreement. You're reserving your right that some or all of those increases 3 do not apply. Do you understand that?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And then in paragraph 8, the government is indicating it's position that the offense level should go up by four because you were an organizer or leader of criminal activity that involved five or more participants or was otherwise extensive, but, again, you're reserving your right to argue to me at the time of sentencing that that increase does not apply. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So in paragraph nine, it indicates what the offense level would be or the adjusted offense level would be. If the government's position is correct, it would be 36. But, you maintain that the adjusted offense level is a 6, the base offense level that we start out with. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: And then in paragraph 10, it talks about a reduction in the offense level for acceptance of responsibility. I'll need to determine at the time of sentencing whether or not you should receive

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

09:21:35

09:21:41

09:21:44

09:21:48

09:21:50

09:21:53

09:21:57

09:22:02

09:22:12

09:22:15

09:22:19

09:22:21

09:22:21

09:22:22

09:22:26

09:22:30

09:22:32

09:22:35

09:22:37

09:22:38

09:22:41

09:22:45

09:22:48

09:22:52

USA VS. R. MORGAN

the reduction for acceptance for responsibility. You don't automatically get it just by pleading guilty. If, in fact, you are entitled to a reduction for responsibility, you and the government are both agreeing that you should get that reduction. It depends on what the offense level is, though, in terms of how much of a reduction you would get. If your offense level is only a six, then the -- you would only get a two-level decrease. But if the offense level is what the government contends 36, or the adjusted offense level, you would get a three-level decrease. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Now, you both you and the government agree in paragraph 11, that the other number that I have to calculate the criminal history category that that is a category I. That is the lowest level that you can have. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So, if you look at paragraph 12

A, this sets forth what the Sentencing Guidelines would recommend for a sentence if the government's calculations are correct. With a total offense level of 33, a criminal history category of I, and taking into

account the statutory maximum penalty, the Sentencing Guidelines would recommend a prison sentence of 60 months or five years, a fine of \$30,000 up to \$250,000, and a supervised release term of one to three years. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Now, if your numbers are correct, and this is in 12 B, with an offense level of 4, and criminal history category of I, the Sentencing Guidelines would recommend a prison sentence of zero to six months, a fine of \$500 up to \$9,500, and a supervised release term of one year. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Now, I want to direct your attention to paragraph 13. Because in this paragraph, you and the government are asking me, at the time of sentencing, to not impose a sentence of incarceration or supervised release. And, first of all, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, I'm not going to decide right now whether or not I'll go along with this, I need to look at, among other things, the Presentence

1 09:22:56 2 09:23:01 3 09:23:04 09:23:09 5 09:23:12 6 7 09:23:12 09:23:17 8 09:23:18 9 09:23:22 10 09:23:25 11 09:23:27 12 09:23:33 13 09:23:34 14 09:23:35 15 09:23:37 16 09:23:38 17 18 09:23:43 09:23:45 19 20 09:23:49 2.1 09:23:53 09:23:53 22

09:23:54

09:23:57

09:24:01

23

24

25

Investigation Report. But the way it works is if at the time of sentencing, I tell you, no, I won't go along with this, and tell the government that, then at that point, you would have the opportunity to withdraw your plea. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: But if I do accept this, if I tell you at the time of sentencing, I will not impose an incarceration sentence or a supervised release sentence, then you can't withdraw your guilty plea. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Let me just ask the attorneys -well, let me first read the last sentence of paragraph

13. It says, "This agreement does not affect the amount
of a fine, the amount of restitution, or the length and
conditions of a term of probation that may be imposed by
the Court at sentencing." So, I want to make sure the
record is clear, in terms of probation, I can impose up
to five years of probation for the violation. Does
everybody agree with that?

MR. GLABERSON: That's correct.

MR. COHEN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And depending on what the

09:24:18 7

09:24:04

09:24:07

09:24:10

09:24:14

09:24:17

1

2

3

4

5

6

09:24:19 8

09:24:22 9

09:24:27 10

09:24:30 11

09:24:32 12

09:24:32 13

09:24:34 14

09:24:39 15

09:24:43 16

09:24:46 17

09:24:50 18

09:24:52 19

09:24:57 20

09:25:03 21

09:25:09 22

09:25:10 23

09:25:11 24

09:25:12 25

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 offense level is, would impact what the Guidelines would 09:25:14 2 recommend. If Mr. Morgan's numbers that are in here are 09:25:17 3 correct, then I think the Sentencing Guidelines would 09:25:20 4 09:25:23 recommend no more than three years of probation. But if 5 09:25:29 the government's calculations are correct, the Sentencing Guidelines would recommend at least one year 7 09:25:32 and no more than five years of probation. Does 09:25:34 8 everybody agree with that? 09:25:38 9 MR. GLABERSON: 09:25:39 10 11 MR. KARASZEWSKI: Yes. 09:25:40 09:25:44 12 THE COURT: But, so I can impose a 09:25:47 13 probationary sentence, and that would be consistent with the 11(c)(1)(C) agreement. But, as I understand it, Mr. 09:25:50 14 09:25:56 15 Morgan would be reserving his right to appeal that sentence. Is that a fair statement? 09:25:58 16 MR. KARASZEWSKI: Yes. 09:26:06 17 THE COURT: I want to hear what the 09:26:06 18 09:26:08 19 attorneys have to say. Mr. Glaberson is looking at the 20 09:26:12 gallery. 2.1 MR. GLABERSON: I believe the appellate 09:26:13 09:26:15 22 waiver would cover a probationary sentence and that it 09:26:19 23 would be within or less than what the Guidelines 09:26:21 24 recommend, which would be a term of incarceration.

THE COURT: Mr. Cohen, what is your position

25

09:26:26

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 09:26:28 2 on that? MR. COHEN: Looking at the waiver, the 09:26:29 3 appeal waiver language as well, your Honor, before I 09:26:30 4 09:26:34 5 answer. 09:26:43 6 THE COURT: And let me say, I'm reading 7 this, obviously, Mr. Cohen can advocate for his client 09:26:44 09:26:48 8 on his own, I mean, the appellate waiver says that it applies to a sentence imposed by the Court which falls 09:26:51 within or is less than the sentencing range for 09:26:54 10 imprisonment, a fine, and supervised release set forth 09:26:56 11 09:26:59 12 in paragraph 12 A. So it doesn't address probation. Ιf 09:27:07 13 you want to talk to Mr. Karaszewski, Mr. Glaberson, feel free, as opposed to mouthing. 09:27:10 14 09:27:14 15 MR. COHEN: That's the way I read it as 09:27:17 16 well, your Honor. 17 MR. GLABERSON: Judge, the government's 09:27:42 09:27:44 position is, when it says "falls within or is less than 18 the sentencing range of imprisonment, fine or supervised 09:27:47 19 09:27:52 20 release set forth above," a sentence of probation would 2.1 be within or less than either the imprisonment or 09:27:54 09:27:58 22 supervised release terms, that it's a, essentially, a 09:28:04 23 lesser sentence than a term of imprisonment is a 09:28:06 24 sentence of probation. 25 09:28:10 THE COURT: What is the defense position on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

09:28:13

09:28:17

09:28:22

09:28:25

09:28:27

09:28:30

09:28:33

09:28:37

09:28:40

09:28:43

09:28:48

09:28:59

09:29:03

09:29:07

09:29:10

09:29:14

09:29:18

09:29:22

09:29:27

09:29:30

09:29:58

09:30:00

09:30:04

09:30:07

USA VS. R. MORGAN

that? I mean, I think we need to have some understanding as to what the appellate waiver is before Mr. Morgan goes forward with any plea.

MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I think probation is different from -- it is, we all know, from supervised release, I read the terms of the agreement, it does allow the appeal rights that your Honor had earlier enumerated, depending on the sentence of probation, which differs from supervised release. So I think that is the way the agreement reads. As your Honor had earlier, I think, as your Honor had interpreted it.

THE COURT: I mean, I'm not sure why the government believes that a sentence of time served with, let's say, one year of supervised release to follow would be a lesser sentence than a sentence of five years probation. Whereas, you know, if you violate probation, you're then looking at the potential maximum penalties that you were originally facing as originally charged as opposed to lesser penalties for a violation of supervised release.

MR. GLABERSON: I guess, to the extent it would come up, were any appeal sought, the government's position would be that by waiving his right to appeal a six-month prison sentence, that necessarily implies that

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 09:30:11 2 he would also waive a lesser sentence, a sentence of probation. 09:30:16 3 THE COURT: But the defense disagrees with 09:30:16 4 that, and before we go forward with a plea and I ask Mr. 09:30:18 5 09:30:22 Morgan questions about him knowingly waiving certain 6 7 rights to appeal, I want to make sure everybody is on 09:30:27 09:30:29 8 the same page. And it doesn't sound as though that is the case right now. So maybe we could take a break and 09:30:32 9 counsel could discuss this and see if you can come to an 09:30:37 10 11 agreement. 09:30:42 12 MR. GLABERSON: Yes. 09:30:43 09:30:44 13 THE COURT: Okay. All right. (Whereupon, there was a break in the 09:31:01 14 09:31:01 15 proceeding.) THE COURT: All right. We are back on the 10:18:07 16 record with Mr. Glaberson, Mr. Karaszewski, Mr. Cohen, 10:18:08 17 10:18:12 18 Mr. Sun, Ms. Denerstein, Mr. Morgan. We took a break 10:18:17 19 when I had raised a question about the scope of the 20 10:18:20 appellate waiver. So, has there been any resolution? 2.1 MR. KARASZEWSKI: Judge, if you permit me to 10:18:25 10:18:27 22 speak. 10:18:27 23 THE COURT: Yes, I'll permit you to speak, 10:18:29 24 Mr. Karaszewski. 25 10:18:30 MS. KARASZEWSKI: Thank you, your Honor.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

10:18:31

10:18:36

10:18:41

10:18:44

10:18:48

10:18:52

10:18:58

10:19:03

10:19:07

10:19:11

10:19:18

10:19:25

10:19:30

10:19:34

10:19:40

10:19:44

10:19:47

10:19:49

10:19:53

10:19:56

10:20:00

10:20:06

10:20:09

10:20:14

USA VS. R. MORGAN

So, maybe we can resolve it this way. We've had -- I've discussed with defense counsel and Mr. Glaberson, and I have discussed with the defense counsel, that the government -- the government reads the appellate waiver, paragraph 30, of the plea agreement, that a sentence of probation would be any component of a sentence which falls within or is less than the sentencing range for imprisonment set forth in paragraph 12 A, which is, that sentencing range for imprisonment is 60 months. it's a sentence of probation, by any measure, is a sentence that is less than 60 months imprisonment. Understanding, Judge, that the appellate -- neither the appellate waiver nor paragraph 12 A, says anything about probation. And I'm -- my understanding is of defense counsel, they can obviously speak for themselves, is that it doesn't say anything about probation, so that if your Honor imposes a sentence of probation, they would be able to file a Notice of Appeal and argue an appeal consistent with the appellate waiver. Now, that, obviously, would be a matter for

the Second Circuit to determine whether or not Mr.

Morgan has waived his right to appeal a sentence of probation. I would propose, Judge, that we can proceed today with the understanding that if Mr. Morgan were to

appeal a sentence of probation, that we would retain our right to attempt to enforce the waiver. I think that is sufficiently -- it sufficiently advises Mr. Morgan of his rights with regard to that, that that is the government's position, that if we were to leave it at that, that that would not affect the knowing and voluntary entering of the plea here.

THE COURT: The only, I guess, well, not the only, but an issue with that, and I'll let Mr. Cohen advise us as to the defense position, the issue I see with that is that typically when I take a plea, and I address the appellate waiver, I very clearly go through with the defendant what he is waiving. And, here, I would not be having Mr. Morgan, on the record, concede that he is waiving the right to appeal a probationary sentence. So, I don't know how it realistically can be argued that he waives a probationary sentence if I don't have the colloquy with him about that.

MS. KARASZEWSKI: I understand that, Judge.

I will say that this has never come up before.

THE COURT: Well, it came up last week to some degree.

MS. KARASZEWSKI: I understand that, Judge.

THE COURT: The difference last week is that

10:20:29 3 10:20:34 4 10:20:41 5 10:20:49 7 10:20:51 10:20:54 8 10:20:57 10:21:00 10 10:21:04 11 10:21:08 12 10:21:11 13 10:21:15 14 10:21:20 15 10:21:24 16 10:21:28 17 10:21:35 18 10:21:40 19 10:21:45 20 21 10:21:47

10:21:51

10:21:53

10:21:54

10:21:55

22

23

24

25

1

2

10:20:23

there wasn't this language of the 11(c)(1)(C) with no supervised release. So, I think --

MS. KARASZEWSKI: I'm sorry, Judge.

THE COURT: I think everybody agreed last week, but it was a little up in the air, but I think that, my recollection is, there was a general consensus that a probationary term that fit within the time frame of the supervised release term that was set forth in the plea agreements last week would be consistent with the terms of the plea agreement. But the difference was that there wasn't an 11(c)(1)(C) agreement for no supervised release. I think that is what complicates this.

MS. KARASZEWSKI: I understand that, Judge.

And let me amend what I said. This hasn't come up in any other case that I've ever handled. I mean, just because, I think, the position has always been, and the understanding has always been, that a sentence of probation is a component of a sentence that is less than a term of imprisonment. I don't think that is an illogical way of looking at it. I guess what -- you're right, Judge, that we don't have a term of -- the (c)(1)(C) allows Mr. Morgan to withdraw his plea if the Court were to determine that he should be sentenced to a

1 10:21:58 2 10:22:01 10:22:04 4 10:22:05 5 10:22:08 6 7 10:22:13 10:22:18 8 10:22:22 10:22:27 10 10:22:31 11 12 10:22:33 10:22:37 13 10:22:43 14 10:22:43 15 10:22:44 16 10:22:48 17 10:22:52 18 10:22:55 19 20 10:22:57

2.1

22

23

24

25

10:23:01

10:23:06

10:23:15

10:23:23

10:23:29

10:23:31 2 term of probation and a term of supervised release.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

10:23:33

10:23:34

10:23:36

10:23:41

10:23:45

10:23:47

10:23:48

10:23:50

10:23:52

10:23:55

10:23:58

10:24:02

10:24:05

10:24:10

10:24:14

10:24:19

10:24:21

10:24:25

10:24:27

10:24:32

10:24:35

10:24:39

10:24:42

THE COURT: Not probation.

MS. KARASZEWSKI: I'm sorry, a term of imprisonment and supervised release. Thank you, Judge. But, so you don't have kind of that overlay of the supervised release to kind of determine what the waiver is.

THE COURT: Right. I think, if, at the time of sentencing, I say I'm going to accept the plea agreement and impose -- let me rephrase it. If at the time of sentencing I say, I'm going to impose a time-served sentence and two years supervised release, I can't do that under the 11(c)(1)(C). I wouldn't be accepting the plea agreement. Mr. Morgan would have the right to withdraw his plea. But if I say, at the time of sentencing, I'm going to impose a two-year probationary sentence, that is consistent with the 11(c)(1)(C), I guess, I'm reading this, my initial read, I understand what you're saying, that probation is less than a five-year prison sentence, obviously, but I think what complicates this is the no supervised release term. So I think there would be an argument, at least, that Mr. Morgan could appeal a two-year probationary sentence.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

10:24:42

10:24:48

10:24:51

10:24:52

10:24:55

10:24:59

10:25:02

10:25:09

10:25:14

10:25:18

10:25:21

10:25:23

10:25:24

10:25:27

10:25:30

10:25:32

10:25:35

10:25:38

10:25:42

10:25:44

10:25:46

10:25:49

10:25:53

10:25:56

USA VS. R. MORGAN

I guess, Mr. Cohen or Ms. Denerstein, what
is the defense position on this?

MS. DENERSTEIN: We agree with the Court.

That should your Honor impose a sentence of probation,
that Mr. Morgan would not have waived his right to
appeal. We are prepared to permit the government to
argue that what we would suggest a strained reading of
paragraph 30 provide such a waiver, and we would oppose,
but if you were to ask Mr. Morgan if he were waiving his
right to a term of probation, he would answer no. So,
we're willing to proceed with that understanding.

MS. KARASZEWSKI: And that would be a matter, if it came to that, Judge, we would argue that before the Second Circuit and we would have them decide.

THE COURT: Right. But, just so there is the understanding, I'm not going to be -- I'll ask Mr. Morgan the questions, but I'm anticipating the answers are going to be that he is not willing to waive a probationary sentence.

MS. KARASZEWSKI: Yes. And if that is the end result of today's proceeding, certainly if this ever gets to the Second Circuit, the record will be made of his understanding of the waiver, and we would proceed accordingly, Judge.

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 10:25:57 THE COURT: Okay. So with that, Ms. 2 Denerstein, defense is ready to proceed? 10:25:59 3 MS. DENERSTEIN: Yes, your Honor, we are. 10:26:03 4 10:26:03 THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Glaberson, the 5 10:26:06 6 government is ready to proceed? 7 MR. GLABERSON: Yes, your Honor. 10:26:07 10:26:07 8 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Morgan, I'm going to remind you, you are still under oath. And before we got 10:26:10 9 sidetracked, I was talking to you about the 11(c)(1)(C) 10:26:14 10 10:26:17 11 agreement. So let me make sure the record is clear. 10:26:20 12 You and the government are asking me, at the time of 10:26:23 13 sentencing, to impose a no incarceration and no supervised release sentence. And if I go along with 10:26:26 14 10:26:30 15 that, you cannot withdraw your quilty plea. Do you 16 understand that? 10:26:33 THE DEFENDANT: 10:26:33 17 Yes. 18 THE COURT: In addition, you're agreeing 10:26:34 that that 11(c)(1)(C) agreement does not affect the 10:26:36 19 20 10:26:41 amount of a fine, the amount of restitution, or the 2.1 length and conditions of a term of probation that may be 10:26:45 10:26:48 22 imposed by the Court. In other words, I can impose a 10:26:52 23 fine up to the maximum amount allowed under the law, 10:26:56 24 which is \$250,000, I can impose restitution, and I can 25 impose a probationary sentence, and that would not allow 10:27:02

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 10:27:06 2 you to withdraw from the guilty plea. Do you understand that? 10:27:09 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 10:27:09 4 5 THE COURT: But let me talk to you about the 10:27:11 10:27:14 appellate waiver. And this is in paragraph 30 of the 6 7 plea agreement. You tell me when you have it there in 10:27:26 front of you. 10:27:34 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm here. Thank you. 10:27:36 THE COURT: So, paragraph 30 states that 10:27:37 10 11 you're agreeing to waive your right to appeal or 10:27:39 collaterally attack any component of a sentence imposed 10:27:42 12 by the Court which falls within or is less than the 10:27:46 13 sentencing range for imprisonment, a fine, and 10:27:49 14 10:27:52 15 supervised release set forth in paragraph 12 A. Do you 16 understand that? 10:27:55 17 10:27:55 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor. 10:27:57 18 THE COURT: And you've talked to your 10:27:58 19 attorneys about that? 10:28:00 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2.1 THE COURT: And you've heard the discussions 10:28:00 10:28:02 22 that have gone on in court today about this appellate 10:28:06 23 waiver? 10:28:06 2.4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Now, you understand that if you 10:28:07

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

10:28:09

10:28:12

10:28:15

10:28:18

10:28:22

10:28:22

10:28:23

10:28:25

10:28:28

10:28:30

10:28:33

10:28:36

10:28:36

10:28:37

10:28:39

10:28:42

10:28:46

10:28:54

10:28:57

10:28:59

10:29:00

10:29:02

10:29:04

10:29:06

USA VS. R. MORGAN

were to go to trial and you were convicted by a jury and then I sentenced you, you would have the right to appeal that conviction and any sentence that is imposed to the court above me. It's called the Second Circuit Court of Appeals. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: You also would have some limited rights to what they call "collaterally attack" that conviction and any sentence that is imposed by bringing a proceeding before the Court where you were convicted, such as a habeas corpus proceeding. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: But you're agreeing, pursuant to the terms and conditions of this plea agreement, that if I sentence you within or less than a prison sentence of five years, a fine of \$30,000 up to \$250,000, and a supervised release term of one to three years, you're waiving any right to appeal or collaterally attack that sentence. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, there is a disagreement between you and the government as to whether or not that appellate waiver applies to a probationary sentence that

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 10:29:10 is imposed. And it's my understanding that you're not 2 waiving the right to appeal a probationary sentence. 10:29:13 3 Ιs that correct? 10:29:18 4 THE DEFENDANT: That's correct. 10:29:18 5 10:29:19 THE COURT: Do you have any questions for me 6 7 or your attorneys about anything that we've covered up 10:29:20 10:29:23 to this point? 8 THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't. 10:29:24 9 10:29:25 10 THE COURT: All right. Let me talk to you 11 about paragraph 18 of the plea agreement. 10:29:28 12 10:29:41 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 10:29:42 13 THE COURT: So you're agreeing, based on the government's agreement to dismiss the charges in the 10:29:44 14 10:29:51 15 pending indictment in this case, that you're going to waive any statute of limitations defense to the charge 10:29:57 16 that is in the Superseding Information. In other words, 10:30:00 17 you're not objecting to, on timeliness grounds, to the 10:30:03 18 Superseding Information being filed. Do you understand 10:30:08 19 20 10:30:09 that? 2.1 Yes, I do. 10:30:09 THE DEFENDANT: 10:30:11 22 THE COURT: And then let me talk to you 10:30:13 23 about in paragraph 21 of the plea agreement. 10:30:22 24 acknowledging that you're aware of investigations, criminal investigations, by the U.S. Department of 25 10:30:29

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:30:36

10:30:38

10:30:41

10:30:45

10:30:48

10:30:50

10:30:50

10:30:51

10:30:54

10:30:59

10:31:03

10:31:06

10:31:11

10:31:14

10:31:26

10:31:30

10:31:34

10:31:35

10:31:36

10:31:39

10:31:42

10:31:47

10:31:51

10:31:56

USA VS. R. MORGAN

Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section, and it's your understanding, as well as the government's, that upon your entry of a guilt plea, the fraud section will further decline to investigate or prosecute you in connection with those investigations. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Let me talk to you about the restitution provisions. In paragraph 23, you're acknowledging that I may require restitution as part of any sentence that is imposed in this case, and that you cannot withdraw your guilty plea because of that. But you're also, and the government is also, acknowledging that the loan to ESL Federal Credit Union referenced in the factual basis of the plea agreement, which we'll get to in a minute, has been repaid in full and there is no restitution due. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: And now any monetary -- let me talk to you about paragraph 25. Any monetary penalties that are imposed at the time of sentencing, you're acknowledging that those are due and payable in full immediately and may be subject to immediate enforcement and subject to an offset in the event that there is any

0:32:01 2 returned property. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And then in paragraph 26, you're agreeing to deposit the maximum potential fine, which is \$250,000, into a third-party escrow account, mutually agreed upon with the government, at least 10 days prior to sentencing, and you're reserving your right to advocate for a fine under the statutory maximum. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Let me talk to you about the forfeiture provisions. As you know, there are, or have been, one civil forfeiture case pending right now, there have been several civil forfeiture cases commenced in connection with this matter, and part of this plea agreement involves you and the government agreeing to execute a civil settlement and forfeiture agreement that has been negotiated for those civil forfeiture matters. And the agreement is that if I do not go along with this, if I don't so order this settlement and forfeiture agreement, you're retaining your right to withdraw, as is the government, retaining its right to withdraw, from the plea agreement. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

1 10:32:01 2 10:32:03 3 10:32:06 4 10:32:08 5 10:32:14 6 7 10:32:18 10:32:21 8 10:32:23 9 10:32:28 10 11 10:32:28 10:32:31 12 10:32:32 13 10:32:37 14 10:32:41 15 10:32:44 16 10:32:53 17 10:32:55 18 10:33:01 19 20 10:33:06 2.1 10:33:11 10:33:14 22 10:33:18 23 10:33:22 24 25 10:33:23

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 10:33:24 THE COURT: And you're also agreeing to 2 execute any other documents reasonably necessary to 10:33:26 3 resolve the civil litigation in accordance with the 10:33:29 4 settlement and forfeiture agreement. Do you understand 10:33:32 5 10:33:34 6 that? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10:33:34 THE COURT: Why don't we turn to section 10 10:33:38 8 of the agreement? 10:33:40 9 MR. COHEN: Roman Numeral X, your Honor? 10:33:49 10 11 THE COURT: Starts with paragraph 33. 10:33:51 you have that there in front of you? 10:33:59 12 10:34:01 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 10:34:02 14 THE COURT: So paragraph 33 includes your 10:34:04 15 agreement not to bring a motion for attorney fees and other litigation expenses under the Hyde Amendment on 10:34:08 16 the ground that the position of the United States was 10:34:11 17 10:34:15 vexatious, frivolous or in bad faith with respect to the 18 counts of conviction or any other count or charge that 10:34:18 19 20 10:34:22 has been dismissed or which may be dismissed in the 2018 2.1 indictment, the related civil forfeiture actions, or 10:34:29 pursuant to this agreement. Do you understand that? 10:34:32 22 10:34:35 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 10:34:35 24 THE COURT: You're also acknowledging that 25 you are not a "prevailing party" within the meaning of 10:34:37

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 the Hyde Amendment as to those various matters. Do you 10:34:43 2 understand that? 10:34:46 3 10:34:46 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. And you're waiving your right to 10:34:47 5 THE COURT: seek any attorney fees or other litigations under that 10:34:48 6 7 statute. Do you understand that? 10:34:51 THE DEFENDANT: 10:34:52 8 Yes. THE COURT: And then, in addition, you're 10:34:54 9 agreeing to withdraw the pending motion for 10:34:56 10 reconsideration that was filed in connection with the 10:34:59 11 10:35:02 12 2018 indictment, and not re-file it or renew it, unless, 10:35:08 13 for some reason, the plea agreement is not accepted or your plea is not accepted pursuant to the various 10:35:16 14 10:35:19 provisions that are in paragraph 34(a). In other words, 15 there are various options by which there is a potential, 10:35:23 16 at least, that the plea agreement would not be accepted 10:35:26 17 10:35:29 or you'd be able to withdraw your quilty plea or 18 10:35:32 19 withdraw from the plea agreement. In the event that 10:35:35 20 occurs, you would be able to renew your motion for 2.1 reconsideration. But, if it doesn't occur, that is the 10:35:41 10:35:44 22 end of the story, at least, in terms of your motion for 10:35:48 23 reconsideration. Do you understand that? 10:35:48 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 25 10:35:57 THE COURT: All right. Let me talk to you,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:36:00

10:36:02

10:36:05

10:36:08

10:36:11

10:36:15

10:36:17

10:36:19

10:36:21

10:36:26

10:36:30

10:36:33

10:36:37

10:36:40

10:36:40

10:36:41

10:36:43

10:36:44

10:36:44

10:36:46

10:36:48

10:36:51

10:36:53

10:36:57

USA VS. R. MORGAN

Mr. Morgan, about what it means to waive indictment, because the Superseding Information contains a felony charge that is different than what you're currently charged with. In order for you to be charged with this crime, normally the case would have to be presented to a grand jury and the grand jury could vote to charge you with the crime that you're going to be pleading guilty to or the grand jury could vote to dismiss the charge against you. In order to have -- well, waive presentation of the case to a grand jury, you need to agree to be charged by a Superseding Information as opposed to an indictment. And to do that, you need to waive presentation of the case to a grand jury. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: And have you talked to your attorneys about that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: In order to waive indictment, you need to sign the Waiver of Indictment form, but when you do that, you're telling me in writing that you fully understand your right to have the case presented to a grand jury and you're agreeing to be charged by the Superseding Information. Do you understand that?

10:36:58 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

10:36:58

10:37:00

10:37:04

10:37:08

10:37:10

10:37:12

10:37:13

10:38:14

10:38:15

10:38:17

10:38:21

10:38:25

10:38:27

10:38:29

10:38:32

10:38:36

10:38:39

10:38:42

10:38:46

10:38:49

10:38:54

10:39:00

10:39:03

THE COURT: All right. Then I ask you to sign the Waiver of Indictment form now. Thank you. And then once it's signed, you can hand it up along with -- I don't think I have the information. Do you have the original information, Mr. Glaberson?

MR. GLABERSON: It's on counsel table with the waiver.

should reflect, I have the original Waiver of Indictment form as well as two copies signed by Mr. Morgan and Mr. Cohen. I do find, Mr. Morgan, based on your answers to my questions and based on your demeanor here in court today and based on you signing this Waiver of Indictment form, that you are waiving indictment in a knowing, voluntary and intelligent manner. So, I will sign the form and we will arrange for it to be filed in our clerk's office. So, what that means is that you're now accused by way of a Superseding Information signed by Mr. Glaberson on behalf of the United States Attorney with one count of conspiracy to commit wire fraud in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. Section 371.

Mr. Cohen, do you have a copy of the Information?

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 MR. COHEN: I do, your Honor. 10:39:03 2 THE COURT: Do you waive a full reading? 10:39:04 3 10:39:06 4 MR. COHEN: Yes. THE COURT: Mr. Morgan, I'm going to enter a 10:39:06 5 preliminary plea of not quilty on your behalf because, 10:39:08 6 before I ask you whether or not you want to plead 7 10:39:10 10:39:13 quilty, I still need to go over some other matters with 8 you. I want to talk to you now about the rights that 10:39:16 9 you're going to be giving up by pleading guilty as 10:39:19 10 opposed to going to trial. 10:39:21 11 First of all, do you understand that you do 10:39:22 12 10:39:24 13 not need to plead guilty to anything. If you wanted to, you could continue with the not guilty pleas that have 10:39:27 14 10:39:31 been entered on your behalf in this case and go to a 15 trial in front of a jury. Do you understand that? 10:39:33 16 10:39:35 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 10:39:36 18 THE COURT: And, at that trial, as well as at all critical stages of this proceeding, you would 10:39:39 19 10:39:42 20 have the right to representation by counsel. If you could no longer afford an attorney, you would have the 10:39:45 21 10:39:48 22 right to have an attorney appointed to represent you. 10:39:50 23 Do you understand that? 10:39:51 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 10:39:51 THE COURT: It would be up to the government

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 at the trial to prove its case against you. You would 10:39:52 2 not have the burden to prove anything. In other words, 10:39:56 3 the government would be the only party that would have 10:39:59 4 the obligation to put in proof, present evidence, 10:40:01 5 present proof. Do you understand that? 10:40:04 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 10:40:08 10:40:08 THE COURT: Your attorneys, on your behalf, 8 could challenge any of the evidence that the government 10:40:10 9 sought to introduce, and they could confront and cross 10:40:12 10 examine any of the witnesses who testified. Do you 10:40:15 11 understand that? 10:40:17 12 10:40:17 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 10:40:18 14 THE COURT: You'd have the right, if you 10:40:20 15 wanted to, to testify on your own behalf or you could chose not to do that. Do you understand that? 10:40:22 16 10:40:26 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 10:40:27 18 THE COURT: You could also put in a defense 10:40:28 19 case. You could call witnesses, compel them to attend 10:40:34 20 the trial, put in evidentiary proof, or you could chose not to do that. Do you understand that? 10:40:36 2.1 10:40:37 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10:40:37 23 THE COURT: And if you chose not to testify 10:40:38 24 or if you chose not to put in any type of a defense 25 case, I would specifically instruct the jury that they

10:40:41

1 USA VS. R. MORGAN 10:40:44 could not in any way consider that as evidence of your 2 quilt or evidence of anything else, for that matter. 10:40:46 3 you understand that? 10:40:49 4 10:40:49 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor. 10:40:52 THE COURT: In addition, the jury would have 6 to consider each charge against you separately and find 7 10:40:54 that the government had proven each element of the 10:40:58 8 charge under consideration beyond a reasonable doubt. 10:41:01 9 Do you understand that? 10:41:05 10 10:41:06 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And if you look at paragraph 12 10:41:07 10:41:09 13 three of the plea agreement. 10:41:16 14 THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry. 10:41:17 15 THE COURT: You tell me when you have it there in front of you. 10:41:18 16 17 10:41:21 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 18 10:41:27 THE COURT: All right. So paragraph three sets forth the elements that the government would have 10:41:29 19 20 10:41:31 to prove beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be 2.1 convicted of the charge that is contained in the 10:41:33 10:41:38 22 Superseding Information. First, that two or more 10:41:41 23 persons entered into an unlawful agreement to commit an 10:41:44 24 offense against the United States as charged in the 25 Superseding Information, to wit, knowingly devising a 10:41:48

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

10:41:51

10:41:54

10:41:58

10:42:01

10:42:05

10:42:09

10:42:12

10:42:16

10:42:19

10:42:22

10:42:29

10:42:32

10:42:38

10:42:41

10:42:46

10:42:50

10:42:53

10:42:56

10:43:01

10:43:05

10:43:07

10:43:10

10:43:13

10:43:16

USA VS. R. MORGAN

scheme to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises, and for the purpose of executing the scheme, used or caused to be used, wire communications and interstate commerce. Second, that you were a party to or a member of that agreement. Third, that you joined the agreement or conspiracy knowing of its objective to commit an offense against the United States and intending to join together with at least one other alleged co-conspirator to achieve that objective. is, you and at least one other alleged co-conspirator, shared a unity of purpose and intent to achieve a common goal or objective to commit an offense against the United States. Fourth, that at some time during the existence of the agreement or conspiracy, at least one of the members of the conspiracy committed at least one overt act in order to further the objective of the agreement. And fifth, that the overt act was committed to further some object of the conspiracy. Do you understand the government would have to prove each one of those elements beyond a reasonable doubt before a jury could convict you of the charge in the Superseding Information? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 THE COURT: In addition, the jury would have 10:43:17 2 to be unanimous in its verdict. In other words, all 12 10:43:19 3 people would have to be in agreement that the government 10:43:22 4 met its burden of proof. Do you understand that? 10:43:24 5 10:43:25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Do you understand that you're 10:43:27 going to be giving up these rights? 10:43:28 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 10:43:30 9 10:43:31 10 THE COURT: Do you understand that a plea of guilty is the same as if a jury found you guilty after 10:43:32 11 trial? 10:43:35 12 10:43:35 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Now, with a felony conviction, 10:43:37 14 you may lose certain civil rights, such as the right to 10:43:38 15 vote, the right to hold certain licenses or offices, the 10:43:42 16 10:43:45 17 right to sit on a jury. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: 10:43:47 18 Yes. THE COURT: Have any other promises been 10:43:48 19 10:43:50 20 made to you to get you to plead guilty, other than those that are contained in this plea agreement? 10:43:53 2.1 10:43:55 22 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 10:43:55 23 THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or 10:43:57 24 coerced you in any way to get you to plead guilty? 25 10:44:00 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty because, 10:44:00 2 based on your discussions with your attorney, you 10:44:03 3 believe it's in your best interest to do so? 10:44:05 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10:44:07 5 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty because 10:44:07 6 7 you are quilty? 10:44:09 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10:44:10 8 THE COURT: Have you answered my questions 10:44:11 9 truthfully? 10:44:13 10 10:44:13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 10:44:14 12 THE COURT: Do you have any questions for me 10:44:16 13 or your attorneys? 10:44:16 14 THE DEFENDANT: No. 10:44:17 15 THE COURT: All right. Let me direct you to the last numbered paragraph of the plea agreement, 10:44:20 16 paragraph 35. What I'm going to do, Mr. Morgan, is I'm 10:44:28 17 going to read this paragraph into the record. You can 10:44:41 18 10:44:43 19 follow along on your copy, but I want you to listen to 10:44:46 20 me, too, because after I read it, I'm going to ask 2.1 whether or not it's true. It states as follows: 10:44:49 10:44:51 22 plea agreement represents the total agreement between 10:44:54 23 the defendant, Robert Morgan, and the government. 10:44:58 24 are no promises made by anyone, other than those contained in this agreement. This agreement supersedes 25 10:45:00

10:45:04

10:45:10

10:45:10

10:45:11

10:45:13

10:45:18

10:45:22

10:45:25

10:45:28

10:45:34

10:45:36

10:45:39

10:45:42

10:45:46

10:45:49

10:45:52

10:45:55

10:45:56

10:45:56

10:45:59

10:46:01

10:46:03

10:46:05

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:45:07 3 into between the government and the defendant."

Is that true.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to do the same thing with the paragraph on the next page, which states as follows: "I have read this agreement, which consists of pages 1 through 14. I have had a full opportunity to discuss this agreement with my attorneys, Joel M. Cohen Esq., and Mylen L. Denerstein Esq. I agree that it represents the total agreement reached between me and the government. No promises or representations have been made to me, other than what is contained in this agreement. I understand all of the consequences of my plea of guilty. I fully agree with the contents of this agreement. I am signing this agreement voluntarily and of my own free will."

Is that true, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. The next step then is for you to sign the plea agreement. But understand, when you sign this, you're telling me in writing that you fully understand all of the terms and conditions and you're entering into it in a knowing, voluntary and

1 USA VS. R. MORGAN 10:46:09 intelligent manner." Is that true? 2 THE DEFENDANT: 10:46:10 3 Yes. 10:46:11 4 THE COURT: Then I ask you to sign the plea agreement now. Once it's fully executed, if you could 10:46:13 5 10:46:21 hand it up, Mr. Cohen. 6 7 MR. COHEN: Will do. 10:46:22 10:46:23 8 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. record should reflect that I have in front of me the 10:46:52 9 10:46:54 10 original plea agreement. It has been signed by Mr. Glaberson on behalf of the U.S. attorney, and it's been 10:46:57 11 10:47:00 12 signed by Mr. Morgan and witnessed by Mr. Cohen and Ms. 10:47:04 13 Denerstein. I am satisfied, Mr. Morgan, based on your answers to my questions and based on your demeanor here 10:47:08 14 10:47:10 15 in court today, and based on you signing this plea agreement, that you are entering into this plea in a 10:47:13 16 knowing, voluntary and intelligent manner. 10:47:15 17 Now, before I can accept your plea, though, 10:47:19 18 you're going to have to tell me what you did. So, why 10:47:21 19 10:47:24 20 don't you, if you would -- do you have another copy of 21 the plea agreement there in front of you? 10:47:26 10:47:30 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10:47:30 23 THE COURT: Why don't you turn to paragraph 10:47:32 24 four of the plea agreement? All right. I'll walk through this with you, but could you tell me, first of 25 10:47:45

1 USA VS. R. MORGAN all, in your own words, what did you do that is causing 10:47:47 2 you to plead guilty? 10:47:51 3 10:47:51 4 THE DEFENDANT: I agreed, with others, to submit to ESL Federal Credit Union, documents that 10:47:53 5 10:47:56 6 contained inaccurate construction contract price information for Ellison Heights project in order to get 7 10:48:00 excess loan funds and evade the equity requirements of 10:48:05 8 10:48:09 the construction loan. THE COURT: Okay. And did this occur in or 10:48:09 10 10:48:13 11 around September of 2016 in the Western District of New 12 York? 10:48:18 10:48:18 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10:48:20 14 THE COURT: And do you agree that you agreed 10:48:22 15 with others to obtain money or property by means of inaccurate representations transmitted by wire in 10:48:26 16 violation of Title 18 U.S.C. Section 371? 10:48:30 17 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10:48:33 THE COURT: Now, paragraph 4(b0 describes 10:48:35 19 10:48:38 20 Ellison Heights Apartments, LLC as a Limited Liability 2.1 Company and describes why it was formed. Is that 10:48:45 10:48:50 22 description accurate? 10:48:51 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10:48:51 24 THE COURT: And you were the manager of Morgan Ellison Heights, LLC as well as the -- well, let 25 10:48:53

USA VS. R. MORGAN 1 me rephrase that. You were the manager of Morgan 10:48:58 2 Ellison Heights, LLC, which was the majority owner of 10:49:02 3 Ellison Heights Apartments, LLC. Is that correct? 10:49:06 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, your Honor. 10:49:08 10:49:19 THE COURT: Do you agree with paragraph 6 7 4(b)(iv), which says that you saw and were aware of 10:49:22 documents reflecting inaccurate information and agreed 10:49:25 8 along with one or more co-conspirators for that 10:49:29 9 information to be submitted to ESL to receive more funds 10:49:33 10 from the loan? 11 10:49:37 10:49:38 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10:49:39 13 THE COURT: And do you agree that the transmission via wire of this inaccurate information to 10:49:41 14 10:49:44 15 ESL to obtain the construction loan was an overt act committed to obtain a larger amount of the construction 10:49:49 16 loan than what would have otherwise been supported by 10:49:51 17 10:49:54 18 the actual figures. Is that true? 10:49:56 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10:50:01 20 THE COURT: And do you agree that the facts 2.1 that we've just gone over and that what you just 10:50:02 10:50:06 22 testified to and answered my questions about, that the 10:50:10 23 government would be able to prove those facts beyond a 10:50:13 24 reasonable doubt at trial or another hearing? 25 10:50:15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

1 USA VS. R. MORGAN THE COURT: All right. Let me ask the 10:50:16 2 government, first of all. Anything else that you think 10:50:19 3 I should cover? 10:50:21 4 MR. GLABERSON: No, your Honor. 10:50:22 5 10:50:23 THE COURT: Mr. Cohen? 6 7 MR. COHEN: No, your Honor. 10:50:23 10:50:24 8 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Morgan, I do find, based on your answers to my questions, that there 10:50:27 is a sufficient factual basis for your plea, and, 10:50:29 therefore, I will accept it. I'm going to defer on 10:50:32 11 10:50:35 whether or not I accept the plea agreement. But I will 12 10:50:37 13 accept your plea. So I now am going to ask you, in the 10:50:39 14 10:50:41 15 presence of your attorneys, how do you plead to the one count Superseding Information charging a violation of 10:50:44 16 Title 18 U.S.C. Section 371? 10:50:48 17 10:50:54 18 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. We discussed 10:50:54 19 10:50:58 20 sentencing dates last week. I think Todd Morgan's 2.1 sentencing was scheduled for here in Rochester on July 10:51:01 10:51:06 22 21st, maybe, it was a Friday? 10:51:11 23 MR. COHEN: July 22nd. 10:51:12 24 THE COURT: How does that work for counsel 25 10:51:16 and Mr. Robert Morgan?

```
USA VS. R. MORGAN
        1
                         MR. COHEN: That would work for us, your
10:51:20
        2
            Honor.
10:51:23
        3
                         THE COURT: Okay. What about 9:30 that
10:51:23
            morning?
10:51:33
        5
        6
                         MR. COHEN: That's fine, your Honor.
10:51:34
        7
                         THE COURT: Does that work for the
10:51:35
10:51:36
        8
            government?
                         MR. GLABERSON: Yes, your Honor.
10:51:37
        9
                         THE COURT: We'll schedule Mr. Morgan's
10:51:38
       10
            sentencing at 9:30 on Friday July 22nd. Are the parties
10:51:40
       11
            anticipating, I mean, it's going to depend on what the
10:51:45
       12
10:51:48
       13
            PSR says, but are you anticipating anything being
            submitted for me to resolve potential disagreement about
10:51:52
       14
10:51:56
       15
            the offense level, other than written submissions?
            mean, in other words, is anybody anticipating that we
10:52:01
       16
            would have to have an evidentiary hearing to resolve
10:52:04
       17
10:52:06
            those issues? I mean, because the offense levels is
       18
10:52:12
       19
            pretty wide disagreement. In the end, it may not impact
       20
10:52:17
            anything if I accept the 11(c)(1)(C), but --
       2.1
10:52:22
                         MR. GLABERSON: As your Honor said, I guess
10:52:23
       22
            it does depend on what the PSR does say. Certainly, the
10:52:28
       23
            government did not anticipate holding an evidentiary
10:52:31
       24
            hearing on the issues.
       25
10:52:32
                         THE COURT: What about Mr. Cohen, from the
```

1 USA VS. R. MORGAN 10:52:33 2 defense perspective? MR. COHEN: Same general answer, your Honor. 10:52:34 3 Depends on what the report says or what the government 10:52:37 does, but we don't have an independent plan to present 10:52:39 5 10:52:43 anything on those issues. 7 THE COURT: What I will do is issue my 10:52:44 typical sentencing scheduling order that will set forth 10:52:46 8 10:52:49 the deadlines for various submissions in advance of the sentencing. But if upon seeing the initial draft of the 10:52:52 10 PSR, you believe that there is going to be a need for 11 10:52:55 12 further discussion, at least, with the Court, let me 10:53:01 know and we can have that discussion. Okay? 10:53:04 13 MR. GLABERSON: Yes, your Honor. 10:53:07 14 10:53:08 15 MR. COHEN: Yes. THE COURT: Anything else on behalf of Mr. 10:53:08 16 Morgan? 10:53:11 17 18 MR. COHEN: No, your Honor. 10:53:11 THE COURT: Anything else on behalf of the 10:53:12 19 10:53:14 20 government? 2.1 MR. GLABERSON: No, your Honor. 10:53:14 10:53:14 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, 10:53:16 23 everybody. Have a good day. 10:53:17 2.4 MR. GLABERSON: Thank you. 25 10:53:19 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

```
1
10:53:19
        2
         3
         4
                               CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
         5
                I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
         6
        7
            of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled
         8
            matter.
         9
        10
            S/ Karen J. Clark, RPR
        11
            Official Court Reporter
        12
        13
        14
        15
        16
        17
        18
        19
        20
        21
        22
        23
        24
        25
```